## **EXHIBIT 2**

7 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS 2 Civil Action 3 No. 04-10298-WGY 4 5 MAUREEN SULLIVAN-STEMBERG, 6 Plaintiff, 7 v. MOTION HEARING 8 TURNER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY and NISHIMATSU CONSTRUCTION CO., LTD., 9 10 Defendants. 11 12 13 BEFORE: The Honorable William G. Young, District Judge 14 15 16 <u>APPEARANCES:</u> 17 18 LAW OFFICE OF JEFFREY S. GLASSMAN, LLP (By Neil S. Cohen, Esq.) 1 Beacon Street, Suite 3333, Boston, Massachusetts 02108, on behalf of the Plaintiff 19 20 PEPE & HAZARD, LLP (By Daniel J. Klau, Esq.), 25 Asylum Street, Hartford, Connecticut 06103, on behalf 21 of Turner Construction Company, Inc. 22 23 1 Courthouse Way 24 Boston, Massachusetts 25 July 15, 2004

THE CLERK: Calling Civil Action 04-10298, Sullivan-Stemberg v. Turner Construction.

THE COURT: Would counsel identify themselves.

MR. COHEN: Good morning -- actually good afternoon, your Honor. Neil Cohen for the plaintiff, Maureen Sullivan-Stemberg.

MR. KLAU: Good afternoon, your Honor. Daniel Klau for the defendant, Turner Construction.

THE COURT: How do you, it's the defendant's motion here, but how do you get beyond the statute of repose?

MR. COHEN: I think with what I've said in my brief, your Honor. I think if you read my brief, I pretty much concede the negligence aspect. I don't think I do get past --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. COHEN: -- that according to research.

The implied warranty and the express warranty I do take issue with. Number one, the implied warranty I don't believe necessarily has the same elements as the negligence claim. And that could be -- based on what I put in the brief, and I didn't give you specific details, but it's based on information and belief that improper flashing or in fact no flashing was used between the floors of the apartment building. That's materials. And therefore from

our point of view if they didn't use proper materials --1 2 But it's not -- it's a motion to THE COURT: dismiss so I have to take everything your way, and I do. 3 4 MR. COHEN: Yes. 5 THE COURT: What about a Massachusetts case Sullivan v. Iantosca, 409 Massachusetts 796 at 800: Action 6 for breach of implied covenant of good workmanship barred 7 8 by the statute of repose. 9 MR. COHEN: Well, again, the implied warranty claim I recognize is difficult from the plaintiff's 10 standpoint on, on that issue, on the statute of repose, 11 12 because there has been, also the Anthony's Pier Four case I 13 believe also read it as being --14 Right. How about express warranty? THE COURT: Where's the promise? Where's the express promise? 15 MR. COHEN: Well, I don't know, Judge, because I 16 don't have the contract between Turner and the Flagship 17 Wharf Condominium Association, and hence, if I don't have 18 the contract, I don't know what promises were made within 19 it; therefore, it would not be a proper motion for 20 consideration under dismissal 12(b)(6).

In addition, I also have a case here that I found --

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, I mean, you have to have some basis for -- you have to plead a promise. And under Rule 11 of the Rules of Civil Procedure you have to have a basis for pleading an express promise. What's your basis?

MR. COHEN: Well, the basis is that I believe there was a contract between Turner as well as --

THE COURT: Probably there was. What's the promise that supports your claim that an express warranty was breached? Where is it here?

MR. COHEN: Well, if I haven't had the contract, Judge, I don't know how I -- other than the fact that, my argument is that my client was a third-party beneficiary to an express promise made by Turner to the condominium association.

THE COURT: But I don't -- you haven't pleaded an express promise. Now that I press you on it you say you don't know whether there's an express promise, but you hope there is and you would like me to deny the motion to dismiss so you can go on.

But I guess, and I think this will save your rights as much as I can, I hold that your pleading is inadequate. It doesn't recite an express promise. You have to be more specific than that and you have to have some reasonable basis for making that, that claim.

Now, if, if those go then the rest goes, doesn't it? And you would probably have --

MR. COHEN: I would have to have done that, yes.

\_ -

1	THE COURT: Yes. All right. And Nishimatsu, they
2	stand on the same basis, don't they, so we right.
3	All right. I appreciate your candor. The case is
4	dismissed in its entirety. You have the right to appeal.
5	MR. COHEN: Thank you.
6	(Whereupon the matter concluded.)
7	
8	
9	CERTIFICATE
10	
11	I, Donald E. Womack, do hereby certify that the
12	above proceedings were reported by me stenographically and
13	this transcript represents a true and accurate
14	transcription of said proceedings.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	DONALD E. WOMACK Official Court Reporter
21	P.O. Box 51062 Boston, Massachusetts 02205-1062
22	womack@megatran.com
23	
24	
25	